

Victorian Landscape Awards

Judging Sheet for Commercial Construction Categories

Note for judges

Awards Allocated: Gold, Silver & Bronze

Commercial Landscape Construction under \$1,000,000 Commercial Landscape Construction over \$1,000,000

Entrant

Project Address

Note to Category Judge:

The **emphasis is on construction** and should never be confused with the integrity and success of the design.

Each entry gets a mark out of 80. This is converted into a percentage and then ranked against other entries.

Construction																
Overall Impression Presentation, wow factor, first impressions based on initial visual impact. Remembering that a well designed and constructed project will appear as if it was always there.	Poor – the project does not bounce off the land with no memorable stand out reaction.					Adequate – the project provides a positive response with a general sense of enjoyment / memorable reaction.				Good – the project engages the viewer and creates a memorable first impression that requires time to absorb.				Excellent – the project owns the space, engages the viewer and provides a memorable first impression that excites and inspires an emotive response.		
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Comments																
Material Quality Evaluates the quality of all materials used	Poor – the quality of material shows obvious visual and structural floors such as cracked pavers, split decking boards, inappropriate use of materials for their intended purpose.				signs of future issues			Good – the materials have provided good value for money with long term viability, have been used appropriately and are in a good, well-presented current state.				Excellent – the materials used are of the highest quality that the budgets allow, have a great long- term future, have been used appropriately and are in an excellent current state.				
	0						1		2			3 4				5
Comments																
Gaps & Joins Evaluates attention to detail in paving, brick work, carpentry, concrete and associated structural landscape works	with no attention to detail, grout falling out or missing / decking boards lifting and the above visually harm the complete				e – inconsistency en some trades hers i.e. paving bod but decking Astandard level of exity to the project bw density of ure. Adequate relatively but lack p Project of complexit density of			ely con ck polis t of me exity /	onsistentjoints are of a gooish.level with no initi-nediumvisual concerns;/ degreecloser inspectionandfinds a little room							
		C)		1	2	3	1	Z	1	5	6	7	8	9	10

Comments

Cuts Evaluates the attention to detail and construction skill in areas of paving, decking, walling, concrete and outdoor structural works	Poor – cuts are inconsistent, irregular with no attention to detail; causing gaps to be inconsistent that visually harm the overall project potential. An easy project, done poorly.	inconsist some tra i.e. pavin decking p level of c project v of struct	ed to ind	ween others od but andard tothe ensity	Adequate - relatively c but lack son A project o complexity of difficulty density of s	good initia conce inspe little	– cuts a level w l visual erns; clo ction fi room fo ovemen	ith no oser nds a or	Excellent – all cuts and workmanship are of the highest level with no visual signs of flaws. The project was of a high level of complexity, high density of structure, carried out to the highest level.		
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Comments											
Control Joints Evaluates the appropriate use of control joints to all rigid structures i.e. brickwork, concrete, etc	Poor – no consideration made for movement control, signs of cracking evident and imminent.	joints e correct inadeq Joints f level. F	e – some o evident bo t use and uate amo finished to Future cra ially imm	ut in unt. an ok acking	Adequate - joints have appropriate relatively of but lack son A project of complexity of difficulty density of s	joints appro to a g no in conce term crack inspe little	l – cont s are uso ppriatel ood leve itial viso erns or potenti ing, clos ction fi room fo	ed y and el with ual long- ial for ser nds a pr	Excellent – all contro joints have been considered and implemented to the highest level with no visual signs of flaws. The project was of a high level of complexity, high density of structure, carried out to the highest level.		
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Degree of Difficulty Evaluates the overall degree of difficulty of the landscape	Poor – the project is		– the proj		Good – the			Good – ⁻ ct offers		Exceller	nt — the displays
Evaluates the overall degree	Poor – the project is straight forward, low in structure, one dimensional as far as diverse skill sets go, with no real challenging, technical, unique structural elements. 0 1 2 3 4	diverse v but simp Elements well but real stand structure	with skills ole in form s are exect there are dout tech es that req el of skills	sets nat. cuted e no inical juire a	Good – the offers one o structure tha a good tech sets that hav executed we structures an standard lev difficulty.	r two key at requires nical skill ve been ell. Other re of a	projec numb struct detail of uni skill se exerci	ct offers er of cha ures and . A diver que, inr ets have ised to a through	allenging d set out se level novative been	project technic: through high lev diversity innovati skills the boundar	displays al brilliance out with a

Subtotal

Soft Construction											
Planting & Layout Evaluates the set out of soft elements such as plant material and lawns as per plan, and level management of plant layout and mulch / soil levels as per plans and specifications	in their	spacir incons rranger ional c are bei soil lev raised	ng and istent ment la onside ng cho vel too walls,	to plans acks 3- ration, cked by low soil /	Adequate has been plan, how little inc	generall wever spa onsistent are good ayout sh	, and plant	visually install levels are wel	s of poor set out, led well. Finished I presented and layout has good	material h out and in highest sta flaws or la All soft ele fresh, corr and the ve	- the plant as been set stalled to the indard with no y out issues. ements are ect in level ertical plant compliments inment
	0 1 2 3				4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Comments	•				•			•		•	

Subtotal

TOTAL	/80	%
Judges name		

Date of Judging_____

/70

/10