

## Victorian Landscape Awards

### Judging Sheet for Landscape Maintenance

Judging Sheet for Residential AND Commercial Entries

**Awards Allocated: Gold, Silver, Bronze**

Entrant	Project Address

**Note to Category Judge:**

The emphasis for this category is on the **maintenance works** undertaken in the landscape.

Judging should assess how well the contractor achieves the outcomes and the health of the garden.

**NOTE** – Contract must have been serviced for a minimum of 12 months at time of judging.

Each entry gets a mark out of **55**. This is converted into a percentage and then ranked against other entries.

## Criteria

Overall Impression	Poor – the project does not sit well within the surrounding land, and there was no memorable stand out reaction.	Adequate – the project provides a positive response with a general sense of enjoyment / memorable reaction.	Good – the project engages the viewer and creates a memorable first impression that requires time to absorb. Good attention to detail, interesting textures and layers are evident through the maintenance	Excellent – the project owns the space, engages the viewer and provides a memorable first impression that excites and inspires an emotive response.
	0 1 2 3 4	5 6 7 8 9	10 11 12 13	14 15
Comments				

Sub-Total

/15

Plant health & new planting										
<b>Plant health &amp; new planting</b> Judge to assess plant health, pruning, density, replacement /additional planting selection in line with long term design vision and management of garden <b>REWORD</b>	Poor –	Adequate –			Good -				Excellent –	
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9      10
Comments										

<b>Mulch in Garden Beds</b> Judge to assess if sufficient mulch is installed evenly to garden beds (50mm-70mm)	Poor – no mulch evident in garden beds.	Adequate – inconsistent depth of coverage of low quality mulch in garden beds, foreign objects present in mulch.	Good – good coverage of quality mulch to all garden beds but lacks detailing around plants and objects.	Excellent – good depth of quality mulch to all garden beds with all areas detailed and no build up around plant bases.
	0	1 2 3 4	5 6 7 8	9 10
Comments				
<b>Weed Management</b> Judge to assess weed management systems in place to manage and prevent weed growth and spread	Poor – high level of weeds visible and no evidence of management system in place.	Adequate – some evidence of weed management in place but more required.	Good – management systems in place and working effectively – little to no evidence of weeds.	Excellent – innovative weed management systems evident and working very effectively. Contractor demonstrating thorough understanding of weeds.
	0	1 2 3 4	5 6 7 8	9 10
Comments				
<b>Water Efficiency</b> Evaluates what mechanisms have been implemented to maximise water efficiency in garden	Poor – no consideration given to garden maintenance for efficient use of watering systems.	Adequate – some consideration given, however plant health not exhibiting effectiveness of watering program.	Good – maintenance program demonstrates thought given to water management, plant health reflecting effective processes.	Excellent – innovative techniques utilised to maintain excellent plant health and maximise water efficiency.
	0	1 2 3 4	5 6 7 8	9 10
Comments				

**Sub Total**

**/40**

<b>ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:</b>		
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>/55</b>	<b>%</b>

**Judges name(s)** \_\_\_\_\_

**Judges Signature(s)** \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Judging** \_\_\_\_\_