

Victorian Landscape Awards Judging Sheet for Residential Construction Categories

Note for judges

Awards Allocated: Gold, Silver & Bronze

Residential Landscape Construction up to \$100,000

Residential Landscape Construction \$100,000 to \$300,000

Residential Landscape Construction over \$300,000

Entrant	Project Address

Note to Category Judge:

The **emphasis is on construction** and should never be confused with the integrity and success of the design.

Each entry gets a mark out of **80**. This is converted into a percentage and then ranked against other entries.

If compulsory requirements such as a record of the entrant or sub-contractor being a Registered Building Practitioner, or if a building permit was required but not obtained or supplied, this project should be assessed as ineligible for consideration.

Construction										
Material Selection Evaluates the quality of all materials used <small>(this is about final material selection not specification)</small>	Poor – the quality of material shows obvious visual and structural flaws such as cracked pavers, split decking boards, inappropriate use of materials for their intended purpose.	Adequate – materials are performing well but are showing signs of future issues or were poorly chosen: evident by things like band marks, imperfections, used upside down, defective etc	Good – the materials have provided good value for money with long term viability, have been used appropriately and are in a good, well presented current state.	Excellent – the materials used are of the highest quality that the budgets allow, have a great long term future, have been used appropriately and are in an excellent current state.						
	0	1 2 3 4 5	6 7 8	9 10						
Comments										
Gaps & Joins Evaluates attention to detail in paving, brick work, carpentry, and so on	Poor – gaps are inconsistent, irregular with no attention to detail, grout falling out or missing / decking boards lifting and the above visually harm the overall project potential. Easy project, done poorly.	Average – inconsistency between some trades and others i.e. paving gaps good but decking poor. A standard level of complexity to the project with low density of structure.	Adequate – gaps are relatively consistent but lack polish. Project of medium complexity / degree of difficulty and density of structure.	Good – gaps and joints are of a good level with no initial visual concerns; closer inspection finds a little room for improvement.	Excellent – all construction gaps and joints are of the highest level with no visual signs of flaws. The project was of a high level of complexity, high density of structure, carried out to the highest level.					
	0	1 2 3	4 5 6	7 8	9 10					
Comments										

Cuts Evaluates the attention to detail and construction skill in areas of paving, decking, walling and outdoor structures	Poor – cuts are inconsistent, irregular with no attention to detail; causing gaps to be inconsistent that visually harm the overall project potential. An easy project, done poorly.	Average – visual signs of inconsistency between some trades and others ie. paving cuts good but decking poor. A standard level of complexity to the project with low density of structure just completed to industry standards.	Adequate – cuts are relatively consistent but lack some polish. A project of medium complexity / degree of difficulty and density of structure.	Good – cuts are of a good level with no initial visual concerns; closer inspection finds a little room for improvement.	Excellent – all cuts and workmanship are of the highest level with no visual signs of flaws. The project was of a high level of complexity, high density of structure, carried out to the highest level.
	0	1 2 3	4 5 6	7 8	9 10
Comments					

Control Joints Evaluates the appropriate use of control joints to all rigid structures	Poor – no consideration made for movement control, signs of cracking evident and imminent.	Average – some control joints evident but in correct use and inadequate amount. Joints finished to an ok level. Future cracking potentially imminent.	Adequate – control joints have been used appropriately and are relatively consistent but lack some polish. A project of medium complexity / degree of difficulty and density of structure.	Good – control joints are used appropriately and to a good level with no initial visual concerns or long-term potential for cracking, closer inspection finds a little room for improvement.	Excellent – all control joints have been considered and implemented to the highest level with no visual signs of flaws. The project was of a high level of complexity, high density of structure, carried out to the highest level.
	0	1 2 3	4 5 6	7 8	9 10
Comments					

Drainage Evaluates how well drainage systems have been installed and finished in hard construction areas	Poor – evidence of water pooling or running in wrong direction. Implications for future problems.	Adequate – some evidence of drainage but not sufficient for project size.	Good – effective drainage system installed no pooling, no evidence of dampness around constructed areas.	Excellent – effective drainage system installed and working efficiently. Installation finished very well.
	0	1 2 3 4	5 6 7 8	9 10
Comments				

Degree of Difficulty Evaluates the overall degree of difficulty of the individual structures, overall project taking into consideration the design documentation, access, unique, innovative construction practices	Poor – the project is straight forward, low in structure, one dimensional as far as diverse skill sets go, with no real challenging, technical, unique structural elements.	Average – the project is diverse with skill sets but simple in format. Elements are executed well but there are no real standout technical structures that require a high level of skill or innovation.	Good – the project offers one or two key structure that requires a good technical skill sets that have been executed well. Other structures are of a standard level of difficulty.	Very Good – the project offers several challenging structures and set out detail. A diverse level of unique, innovative skill sets have been exercised to a high level throughout the project.	Excellent – the project displays technical brilliance throughout with a high level of diversity, detail, innovative, unique skills that push the boundaries of the industry and trades.
	0 1 2 3 4	5 6 7 8	9 10 11 12	13 14 15 16	17 18 19 20

Comments

Sub Total

/70

Soft Construction											
Setout 2D & 3D (Levels) Evaluates the set out of soft elements such as plant material and health and lawns along with management of plant layout and mulch / soil level	Poor – plants are inconsistent in their spacing and set out, lawn is inconsistent to plans. The plant arrangement lacks 3 dimensional considerations, plants are being choked by mulch, soil level too low behind raised walls, soil / mulch too high and spilling over.	Adequate – the plant material has been generally set out to plan, however spacing is a little inconsistent. Finished soil / mulch levels are good, and plants vertical layout shows potential.				Good – the plant material shows no signs of poor set out and visually all elements have been installed well. All finished levels are well presented, and plant vertical layout has good form and balance.				Excellent – the plant material has been set out and installed to the highest standard with no findable flaws or lay out issues. All soft elements are fresh, correct in level and the vertical plant structure compliments the environment perfectly.	
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Comments											

Subtotal

/10

Was a building permit required for this project?	Yes / No / NA	Was a building permit obtained?	Yes / No / NA
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:			
TOTAL		/80	%

Judges name(s)

Judges Signature(s)

Date of Judging _____